

IfW

OENT -	Application Number	10/751,194
,	Filing Date	December 31, 2003
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence during pendency of filed application)	First Named Inventor	Garrett R. Goldfield
	Group Art Unit Number	2122
	Examiner Name	Not yet known
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 25	Attorney Docket Number	16319-08173
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
☐ Fee Transmittal Form (in duplicate) ☐ Check Enclosed ☐ Return Receipt Postcard ☐ Response to Notice to File Missing Parts ☐ Assignment & Recordation Cover Sheet ☐ Declaration ☐ Power of Attorney ☐ Application Data Sheet ☐ Information Disclosure Statement & PTO/SB/08A ☐ Copies of IDS Cited References ☐ Request for Corrected Filing Receipt ☐ Request for Correction of Recorded Assignment ☐ Amendment/Response: [] Page(s) ☐ After Final ☐ Status Request ☐ Revocation and Substitute Power of Attorney	Appeal Commun Interferences Appeal Commun (Appeal Notice, Certified Copy of After Allowance of Request for Rec Copy of Decisio Declaration of F Of Omitted Inve	raftsperson. s): of Figure(s) [] ication to Board of Appeals and
REMARKS:		
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT		
Signature:		
Attorney/Reg. No.: Amir H. Raubvogel, Reg. No.: 3	7,070	Dated: October 22, 2004
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified above, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop Petitions, Attn: Christina Tartera Donnell, Petitions Attorney, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. If the Express Mail Mailing Number is filled in below, then this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10.		
Signature:		
Typed or Printed Name: Amir H. Raubvogel		Dated: October 22, 2004
Express Mail Mailing Number (optional):		

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Off Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

WICK & WEST LLP ILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94041

Fenwick & West

ULT 0 8 2004

Received

COPY MAILED

OCT 0 5 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Goldfield et al.

Application No. 10/751,194

Filed: December 31, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 16319-08173

DECISION REFUSING STATUS

UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed September 7, 2004. Applicants obtained a two-month extension of time to reply. Accordingly, the petition is filed timely.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Applicants are given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application. Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on December 31, 2003, without an executed oath or declaration. Accordingly, on May 7, 2004, the Office mailed a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application," requiring an executed oath or declaration and a surcharge for its late filing.

In response, on September 7, 2004, applicants filed the present petition, a declaration signed by two of the four joint inventors, a request for a two-month extension of time to reply, and paid the necessary fees.

Application No. 10/751,194

In the Declaration of Facts, Mike Graves stated that on two separate occasions he sent both David Murray and Todd Haseyama UPS packages containing an Assignment, a Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney, and a cover letter requesting that they execute the documents. UPS Tracking Information indicated that the packages were delivered to the inventors.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. Applicants lack item (1) as set forth above.

As to item (1), it is unclear from the record whether inventors Murray and Haseyama were presented with a complete copy of the application papers. Unless the inventors were presented with a complete copy of the application papers, including the specification, claims and drawings, they could not attest that they have "reviewed and understand[] the application papers," and therefore, could not sign the declarations that they were given. Accordingly, applicants failed to show or provide proof that the nonsigning inventors have refused to sign the declaration. MPEP 409.03(d). To show that the inventors have refused to join in the application, applicants must show that complete copies of the application papers, including the specification, claims and drawings, were presented to inventors Murray and Haseyama, personally or through their designated representatives, and that they did not respond to the requests that they sign the declarations.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows and to the attention of Christina Tartera Donnell, Petitions Attorney:

By mail:

Commissioner for Patents

Mail Stop Petitions

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(703) 872-9306

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

2011 South Clark Place

Customer Window

Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03

Arlington, VA

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at $(571)\ 272-3211$.

Christma factera Donnelle

Christina Tartera Donnell Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions